



## Calculating the Cost of Implementation Overload

Programs vary in the number of kids in a school they *can service at any time* (**Reach**) and *how many they, on average, actually help* (**Effectiveness**)

And schools vary in *how well they implement the key components of those programs* (**Fidelity**). In fact, current research estimates schools are, on average, implementing large programs (e.g., school-wide PBIS) with 60-70% fidelity (e.g., Nelen, Blonk, Scholte, & Denessen, 2020).

Program	Reach	Effectiveness	Fidelity	Overload Reduction
A	.75	.40	.60	.10
B	.50	.90	.60	.10
C	.25	.60	.60	.10
D	.10	.80	.60	.10

Above are 4 programs for well-being. Program A is a well-being for staff that is expected to not only help staff but also help students because staff are healthier. The other programs are student-focused.

Let's calculate what each program is capable of doing for staff on its own. Pretend you are at a school with 1000 students. Use this equation to calculate overall effectiveness of each program.

$$\text{Students Served} = 1000 * \text{Program Reach} * \text{Effectiveness} * \text{Fidelity}$$

- 1) Program A = \_\_\_\_\_
- 2) Program B = \_\_\_\_\_
- 3) Program C = \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) Program D = \_\_\_\_\_

Now, calculate the effectiveness of program A (well-being) if teachers are also trying to implement program B, program B + C, and then program B + C + D. Use this formula

$$\text{Students Served} = 1000 * \text{Program Reach} * \text{Effectiveness} * (.6-.1 * \# \text{ of programs}) \text{fidelity}$$

- 1) Program A with 1 additional program = \_\_\_\_\_
- 2) Program A with 2 additional programs = \_\_\_\_\_
- 3) Program A with 2 additional programs = \_\_\_\_\_

Nelen, M. J., Blonk, A., Scholte, R. H., & Denessen, E. (2020). School-Wide Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports: Fidelity of Tier 1 Implementation in 117 Dutch Schools. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 1098300719879621.